# Iowa School Report Card

<

Anamosa 2015-2016

# **Reporting Catagories**

Below are the cuts. It is important to note that all scores are calculated and reported to one-tenth of a percent. This means that calculations are rounded to the third decimal point (0.001 or 0.1%).

Category	High Schools	Middle Schools	Elementary Schools
Exceptional	75 and above	71 and above	79 and above
High performing	70-74.9	68-70.9	73-78.9
Commendable	65.4-69.9	64-67.9	67-72.9
Acceptable	60-65.3	57-63.9	61-66.9
Needs improvement	56.0-59.9	53-56.9	55-60.9
Priority	55.9 and below	52.9 and below	54.9 and below

\* Round all scores using accepted rules of rounding.

These cuts were based on the creation of a normal distribution by each school level when the 2016 results were released. All scores were rated and the cuts were made by standard deviations from the mean. The cut scores were not changed from 2016 to 2017.

Measure	Data Year(s)	High School	Middle School	Elementary
Proficiency (IA Assessment)	2014-2015 & 2015-2016	10 <sup>th</sup> and 11 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup> , 6 <sup>th</sup> , 7 <sup>th</sup> , and 8 <sup>th</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup> and 4 <sup>th</sup>
Closing Achievement Gap (IA Assessment)	2015-2016	10 <sup>th</sup> and 11 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup> , 6 <sup>th</sup> , 7 <sup>th</sup> , and 8 <sup>th</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup> and 4 <sup>th</sup>
College and Career Ready Growth (IA Assessment)	2014-2015 & 2015-2016	11th	5 <sup>th</sup> , 6 <sup>th</sup> , 7 <sup>th</sup> , and 8 <sup>th</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>
Annual Expected Growth IA Assessment)	2014-2015 & 2015-2016	11th	5 <sup>th</sup> , 6 <sup>th</sup> , 7 <sup>th</sup> , and 8 <sup>th</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>
College and Career Readiness (IA Assessment)	-2014-2015 & 2015-2016-	10 <sup>th</sup> and 11 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup> , 6 <sup>th</sup> , 7 <sup>th</sup> , and 8 <sup>th</sup>	N/A
Graduation Rate (SRI)	2012-2013 & 2013-2014	12 <sup>th</sup>	N/A	N/A
Attendance Rate Daily Attendance (SRI)	2013-2014 & 2014-2015	9 <sup>th</sup> , 10 <sup>th</sup> , 11 <sup>th</sup> , 12 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup> , 6 <sup>th</sup> , 7 <sup>th</sup> , and 8 <sup>th</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup> and 4 <sup>th</sup>
Staff Retention BEDS)	2014-2015 & 2015-2016			

Calculation	Structure
-------------	-----------

Measure	Percentage/Points Possible	High School Weighting Factor	Middle School Weighting Factor	Elementary Weighting Factor
Proficiency (IA Assessment)	100	22.2%	25.0%	28.6%
Closing Achievement Gap (IA Assessment)	100*	22.2%	25.0%	28.6%
College and Career Ready Growth (IA Assessment)	100	11.1%	12.5%	14.3%
Annual Expected Growth (IA Assessment)	100	11.1%	12.5%	14.3%
College and Career Readiness (IA Assessment)	100	11.1%	12.5%	N/A
Graduation Rate (SRI)	100	11.1%	N/A	N/A
Attendance Rate Daily Attendance (SRI)	100	5.6%	6.3%	7.1%
Staff Retention (BEDS)	100	5.6%	6.3%	7.1%

\* Achieved through a T-Scale calculation to resolve a – or decrease in a gap.

	(Pro	Gra oficiency, Closing th	ade Struc he Achievemer				er Readi	iness)			
		ill Report Card 16			An	amosa I		School F )16	Report C	ard	
2014-	2015	2015-20	16	2014-2015			2014-2015 2015			2015-2016	
Gra	de	Grade			Gra	ade			Gr	ade	
3rd	4 <sup>th</sup>	3rd	4 <sup>th</sup>	5th	6th	7th	8th	5th	6th	7th	8th
Graduati	Graduating Year Graduating Year		Year	Graduating Year			Graduating Year			r	
2024	2023	2025	2024	2022	2021	2020	2019	2023	2022	2021	2020
			Anamosa Hig	h Schoo 2016	l Report	Card					
		20	)14-2015		20	15-2016					
			Grade		(	Grade					
		10th	11 <sup>th</sup>		10th		11 <sup>th</sup>				
		Grad	uating Year		Gradu	uating Ye	ear				
		2017	2016		2018	2	2017				

	(College and Career Re	Structure ady Growth and			ed Grow	th)			
	Hill Report Card 016		An	amosa		School F )16	Report C	ard	
2014-2015	2015-2016		2014	-2015			2015	-2016	
Grade	Grade		Gr	ade			Gra	ade	
4 <sup>th</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>	5th	6th	7th	8th	5th	6th	7th	8th
Graduating Year	Graduating Year		Graduatin		ng Year		Graduating Year		r
2023	2024	2022	2021	2020	2019	2023	2022	2021	202
	Anamosa Hi	sh School Repo 2016	rt Card						
	2014-2015	2015-	2016						
	Grade	Gra	de						
	11 <sup>th</sup>	11	th						
	Graduating Yea	r Graduati	ng Year						

Measure	Anamosa	School A	School B	School C	School D
Ranking	Needs Improvement	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	High Performing
Score	58.3	64	60.3	64.9	73.1
Proficiency	79.8	79	77	88.5	94.1
Closing Achievement Gap	38.7	65.2	51.4	44.5	63.8
College and Career Ready Growth	29.6	28.6	28.9	39.4	40.4
Annual Expected Growth	41.4	42.7	44.6	49.8	44.2
College and Career Readiness	36.9	30.2	29.6	43.4	62.8
Graduation Rate	94.9	93.5	94.4	93.1	97.8
Attendance Rate	94.1	94.6	93.3	95.9	96.1
Staff Retention	75	88.6	89.5	88.5	94.2
FRL, IEP, ELL %	47.7	51.8	52.6	28.3	15.1

# Achievement Comparison - AMS

Measure	Anamosa	School A	School B	School C	School D
Ranking	Commendable	Needs Improvement	Acceptable	Acceptable	Commendable
Score	65.1	56.0	58.4	58.3	67.5
Proficiency	79.1	70.6	71.8	74.7	89.4
Closing Achievement Gap	56.2	49.6	54.8	45.1	49.9
College and Career Ready Growth	52.3	44.9	46.8	49.8	56.5
Annual Expected Growth	54.4	51.2	51.9	53	58.8
College and Career Readiness	39.4	25.7	27.1	31.4	48.8
Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Attendance Rate	95.7	94.1	94.3	95.9	95.9
Staff Retention	87.7	77.6	85.7	89.1	98.3
FRL, IEP, ELL %	44.2	59.4	58.6	33.9	17.6
Grades	5 <sup>th</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup>	6 <sup>th</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup> - 8 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup> _8 <sup>th</sup>

Measure	Anamosa	School A	School B	School C	School D
Ranking	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	High Performing	Commendable
Score	62.1	66.1	64.8	77.2	70.0
Proficiency	75.1	74.1	76.1	82.9	89.3
Closing Achievement Gap	36.6	56.2	54.7	79.5	52.9
College and Career Ready Growth	59.7	53.5	51	59.9	55
Annual Expected Growth	59.7	55.3	52.3	59.9	55.2
College and Career Readiness	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Attendance Rate	95.9	95.1	94.7	96.5	96
Staff Retention	88.5	90.1	83.5	94.3	95.7
FRL, IEP, ELL %	52	57.7	66.8	33.1	20.1
Grades	Kdg-4 <sup>th</sup>	3rd_5th	Kdg-4 <sup>th</sup>	2nd_4th	Kdg-4 <sup>th</sup>



# Reflections

- Districtwide students perform better in math than reading
- Middle school meets or exceeds almost all state average growth indicators
- 6<sup>th</sup> grade reading results continue to indicate challenges of curriculum and programming. With IA Assessment taken in October, this is a greater reflection of 5<sup>th</sup> grade curriculum & programming.
- Students not identified with instructional challenges of FRL, ELL and/or an IEP demonstrate stable proficiency levels of 86% (SH), 87% (AMS) and 90% (AHS)
- The district remains challenged to meet the learning needs of students with identified instructional challenges such as FRL, ELL and IEP's
- The district's instructional system is not ensuring consistency of learning in a grade across time.



#### Overall Rating: How is a school's overall rating calculated?

#### Overview

The **lowa's School Report Card (SRC)** is comprised of multiple measures which are combined to determine an overall performance rating. Iowa Schools are categorized into one of six performance categories: *Exceptional, High Performing, Commendable, Acceptable, Needs Improvement* and *Priority.* The **SRC** includes eight measures:

- Academic Proficiency
- · Closing the Achievement Gap
- Annual Growth
- College and Career Ready Growth
- On-Track for College Readiness
- Graduation Rate
- Average Daily Attendance
- Staff Retention

Each of the measures has a value between 0 and 100 and is then multiplied by a weight amount, producing a score for each measure. The weighting is a value assigned to each indicator. The score for each measure is determined — and then all measures are added together to create an overall score.

Of the eight measures included in the SRC, all but one is a percentage which ranges from 0 to 100. For example, an elementary school which has annual growth rate of 60% has a majority of students making year-to-year progress in both **Reading** and **Math**. In this example, the natural score of 60% would be used in the calculation to determine the score for this measure. This is important because any improvement in this measure in future years would be reflected in an increase in the overall score for this school.

A school's annual growth score would be multiplied by the weighting percent for that measure to get the overall score. In this example, this elementary school would receive **8.58 points** for the annual growth measure.

Growth score multiplied by weighting value equals total points: 60 x .143 = 8.58 points.

The only exception to the **0** to **100** score is the *Closing the Achievement Gap* score. More detailed information about this score can be found in the technical guide listed under the More Information section of this website.

The below breakdown shows the final weight for each measure at each school level:

Measures	High School	Middle School	Elementary School
Proficiency	22.2%	25.0%	28.6%
Closing Achievement Gap	22.2%	25.0%	28.6%
College and Career Ready Growth	11.1%	12.5%	14.3%
Annual Expected Growth	11.1%	12.5%	14.3%
College and Career Readiness	11.1%	12.5%	NA
Graduation Rate	11.1%	NA	NA
Attendance	5.6%	6.3%	7.1%
Staff Retention	5.6%	6.3%	7.1%
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Interpreting Scores

An overall school rating does not provide contextual information about a school nor does it make a conclusion about the quality of the staff or provide important information about ongoing work to raise student achievement. The report card should create a constructive dialog between educators, administrators and parents about the work that is currently under way in the school to support all students in achieving their full potential.

While the report card may not "tell the whole story" about a school, it does offer a high level view of student performance across a number of measures. A composite score is generated from multiple years of data which depicts a stable picture of performance across time. Consumers can use these data to compare a school against the state average and to see if the information provides any trends in performance. Schools can use this information to assist in developing achievement goals and to guide their improvement efforts.



Agencies (https://directory.iowa.gov/organization/Index)

Online Services (https://directory.iowa.gov/service/Index)

# Anamosa High School Grant Wood I Anamosa Community I High School

2016

Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12

#### Demographics Contact information and student counts by sub-group





2015



#### Anamosa High School Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | High School

Rank: Needs Improvement

Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12 | Total Students: 382 Expand all



	59.9 Points	
	50	
	•	
Proficiency		7
	81.8%	
	50	
	0	
Closing Gap (FRL, IEP, and ELL)	•	
42.2		
	50	
	<b>\$</b>	
College and Career Ready Growth		
28.9%		
	50	
	•	
Annual Expected Growth	v	
39.6%		
	50	
Ŷ		
College and Career Readiness		
37.6%		
	50	
		•
Graduation Rate		
		97%
	50	
		Ŷ
Attendance		00.00/
		93.6%
	50	
		0
Staff Retention		Ŷ
	80.6%	
	50	

# Anamosa High School Grant Wood I Anamosa Community I High School

Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12 | Total Students: 384

# Proficiency What percent of students meet or exceed proficiency targets?

	Report Car	d Proficiency	
Combined Mat	h & Reading	0	
2015 - 2016	79.8%	<b>Q</b>	
2016	77.3%	•	
2015	82.3%	-	
2016		Ŷ	
Math	76.7%	٥	
Reading	77.9%		
2015		0	
Math	84.6%	0	
Reading	80%	•	

	Proficiency Year-t	o-Year Comparis	on	
Combined		<b>Q</b>		
2016	77.3%	•	-5	
2015 82.3%	82.3%		-5 1	
Math		0		
2016	76.7%	0	-7.9	
2015	84.6%	•		
Reading		0		
2016	77.9%	0	0.1.1	
2015	80%	•	-2.1 🗸	









Free-or-

Lunch

0%

Reduced Priced



75%

100%

2016



Agencies (https://directory.iowa.gov/organization/Index)

Online Services (https://directory.iowa.gov/service/Index)



Anamosa High School

Grant Wood I Anamosa Community I High School Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12

#### Closing Achievement Gap (FRL, IEP and ELL)

Is progress being made in closing the achievement gap between students that traditionally lag in achievement, compared to the rest of the school?

Achievement gap in education refers to the disparity in academic performance between groups of students. Iowa faces significant gaps in achievement for students who are part of these groups:

- individualized education program (IEP)
- · students whose first language in not English (ELL)
- students who face economic hardship (lowa uses free or reduced priced lunch FRL eligibility as a proximate measure for economic diversity)

For this measure, an aggregate group of these students who are FRL, IEP and/or ELL is compared to the rest of the students in this school who are not eligible for FRL, do not have an IEP and are not English language learners (ELL).

The combined Math and Reading proficiency is compared for the last three most recent years.



				-	Average Closing	5
Year	Groups	Proficiency Percentage	Gap	Gap	Gap	Score (T-Score)
2016	FRL, IEP and ELL Not FRL, IEP or ELI	62.8% - 90.6%	27.8	5.6		
2015	FRL, IEP and ELL Not FRL, IEP or ELL	70.7% - 92.9%	22.2	4.9	5.2	38.7
2014	FRL, IEP and ELL Not FRL, IEP or ELL	70.5% - 87.7%	17.3			



# 2016

# Anamosa High School

Grant Wood I Anamosa Community I High School Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12 | Total Students: 384

# College and Career Ready Growth What is the percent of students who are growing each year toward college and career readiness?

#### Report Card College and Career Ready Growth

Combined Mat	h & Reading	
2015 - 2016	29.6%	
2016	29.9%	
2015	29.3%	
2016	Ŷ	
Math	45.5%	
Reading	14.3%	
2015	0	
Math	38%	
Reading	20.7%	

College	and Car	eer Rea Comp	idy Growth Year-to-Year arison
Combined		0	
2016	29.9%	•	
2015	29.3%	·	0.6 🕇
Math		0	
2016	45.5%	0	7.5 🕇
2015	38%	•	
Reading		<b>Q</b>	
2016	14.3%	0	
2015	20.7%	•	-6.4 🗸

Reading by Gender

Subgroups with less than 20 students are intentionally redacted

25%

25%

Reading by Program

Subgroups with less than 20 students are intentionally redacted

Q

50%

0

2015 School

Female

Male

Free-or-

Lunch

0%

Reduced Priced

0%

Q

Q

0

50%

🛄 2016 School

75%

75%

100%

100%

Q













...

# ۲

Anamosa High School Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | High School

Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12 | Total Students: 384

Annual Expected Growth What is the percent of students achieving a year of academic growth in a year's time?

Rep	ort Card Annual Expected Growth
Combined Mat	
2015 - 2016	<sup>41.4%</sup> o
2016	42.2%
2015	40.8%
2016	<b>Q</b>
Math	59.7%
Reading	24.7%
2015	0
Math	56.5%
Reading	25%
	Combined Math 2015 - 2016 2016 2015 2016 Math Reading 2015 Math

Combined		<b>Q</b>	
2016	42.2%	0	1.4
2015 4	40.8%	·	1.4
Math		0	
2016	59.7%	0	3.21
2015	56.5%	•	
Reading		<b>o</b>	
2016	<b>24.7%</b>	•	-0.3
2015	25%		-0.3





Reading by Program

Subgroups with less than 20 students are intentionally redacted

25%

2015 School

Free-or-Reduced Priced

Lunch

0%

¢

50%

2016 School

100%

75%

0











## Anamosa High School

2016

Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | High School Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12 | Total Students: 384

### College and Career Readiness What is the percent of students that are at or above a college readiness benchmark?

Report Card College and Career Readiness

College and Career Beadiness Year-to-Year Comparison

	°	
Combined Mat	h & Reading	
2015 - 2016	36.9%	
2016	36.3%	
2015	37.6%	
2016	<u> ۷</u>	
Math	<b>¢0.4%</b>	
Reading	32.2%	
2015	<b>Q</b>	-
Math	43.9%	
Reading	31.2%	

Combined	0	
2016	36.3%	
2015	37.6%	-1.3
Math	0	
2016	40.4%	-3.5 🗸
2015	43.9%	
Reading	<b>Q</b>	
2016	32.2%	
2015	31.2%	









25%

Free-or-Reduced Priced

Lunch

0%



50%

75%

100%



Online Services (https://directory.iowa.gov/service/Index)



Anamosa High School 2016 Grant Wood I Anamosa Community I High School

Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12

# Graduation Rate What percent of students complete high school in five-years?





Online Services (https://directory.iowa.gov/service/Index)

Anamosa High School Grant Wood I Anamosa Community I High School Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12

# 2016

# Attendance What is the average daily attendance of students?







Career Teachers

Other Licensed Staff

Total Teachers

Unduplicated Staff Count 13

Anamosa High School Grant Wood I Anamosa Community I High School

Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12

2016

# Staff Retention What percentage of licensed staff are retained?



2014 📕 2015 🔛 2016

24

26

29

28

32

32

31

36

36



Agencies (https://directory.iowa.gov/organization/Index)

# Anamosa Middle School

2016

Grant Wood I Anamosa Community I Middle School Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08

Demographics Contact information and student counts by sub-group



Agencies (https://directory.iowa.gov/organization/Index) Online Services (https://directory.iowa.gov/service/Index)

2015



### Anamosa Middle School

Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Middle School Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08 | Total Students: 386 Expand all



	67.2 Points	
	50	
	0	
Proficiency	-	
	79.7%	
	50	
	•	
Closing Gap (FRL, IEP, and ELL)	65.9	
	50	
College and Career Ready Growth	Ŷ	
eringe and exiter ricady growin	57.8%	
	50	
	0	
Annual Expected Growth		
	60.5%	
	50	
<b>9</b>		
College and Career Readiness 39.1%		
	50	
Attendance		Ŷ
		95.5%
	50	
		•
Staff Retention		

Agencies (https://directory.iowa.gov/organization/Index) Online Services (https://directory.iowa.gov/service/Index)



Parent Involvement Teacher Survey Results No survey results are found for this school.

#### Anamosa Middle School Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Middle School

Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08 | Total Students: 392

2016

### Proficiency What percent of students meet or exceed proficiency targets?

	Report Card Proficiency				
Combined Mat	h & Reading	Ŷ			
2015 - 2016	79.1%	0			
2016	78.3%	0			
2015	79.9%	•			
2016		Ŷ			
Math	84%	Ŷ			
Reading	72.6%				
2015		Ŷ			
Math	85.2%	0			
Reading	74.7%	•			

roficiency Year-	to-Year Comparison	
	<b>\$</b>	
78.3%	•	-1.6
79.9%	•	-1.0
	<b>Q</b>	
84%	0	-1.2
85.2%	•	
	Q	
72.6%	•	-2.1
74.7%		-2.1 🗸
	78.3% 79.9% 84% 85.2% 72.6%	78.3% 79.9% 84% 85.2%

















Anamosa Middle School Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Middle School Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08

#### Closing Achievement Gap (FRL, IEP and ELL)

Is progress being made in closing the achievement gap between students that traditionally lag in achievement, compared to the rest of the school?

Achievement gap in education refers to the disparity in academic performance between groups of students. Iowa faces significant gaps in achievement for students who are part of these groups:

- individualized education program (IEP)
- students whose first language in not English (ELL)
- students who face economic hardship (lowa uses free or reduced priced lunch FRL eligibility as a proximate measure for economic diversity)

For this measure, an aggregate group of these students who are FRL, IEP and/or ELL is compared to the rest of the students in this school who are not eligible for FRL, do not have an IEP and are not English language learners (ELL).

The combined Math and Reading proficiency is compared for the last three most recent years.



		Achievement	Closing	Average Closing	Closing Gap
Year	Groups Proficiency Percentage	Gap	Gap	Gap	Score (T-Score)
2016	FRL, IEP and ELL 66.8% Not FRL, IEP or ELL 87.5%	20.7	5.2		
2015	FRL, IEP and ELL 72% Not FRL, IEP or ELL 87.6%	15.5	-9,1	-2	56.2
2014	FRL, IEP and ELL 65.7% Not FRL, IEP or ELL 90.3%	24.6			



## Anamosa Middle School

Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Middle School Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08

# **Closing Achievement Gap**

#### Gap (Minority students within school comparison)

#### Is progress being made in closing the achievement gap between minority and white students within this school?

Achievement gap in education refers to the disparity in academic performance between groups of students. Here, the difference in academic achievement is examined by comparing this school's minority student proficiency to that of white students within the school. The aggregate combined Math and Reading proficiency scores of this school's minority students are compared to the aggregate combined Math and Reading proficiency.



			Achievement	Closing	Average Closing	
Year	Groups	Proficiency Percentage	Gap	Gap	Gap	Score (T-Score)
2016	Minority Students White Students	83.3% 78%	-5.3	4		
2015	Minority Students White Students	88.6% 79.3%	-9.3	-13	-4.5	60.3
2014	Minority Students White Students	75.9% 79.7%	3.7			



Agencies (https://directory.iowa.gov/organization/Index) Online Services (https://directory.iowa.gov/service/Index)



Anamosa Middle School Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Middle School Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08

## 2016

#### Closing Achievement Gap (Minority students to state average)

Is progress being made in closing the achievement gap between this school's minority students and the state average?

The achievement gap in education refers to the disparity in academic performance between groups of students. Here, the difference in academic achievement is examined by race/ethnicity within this school compared to a statewide target. An aggregate of combined Math and Reading proficiency of the minority students in this school are compared to the statewide Math and Reading proficiency of white students.

Minority Student Breakdown	Percentage	Cour
Asian	2.3%	8
Black or African American	0.6%	2
Hispanic or Latino	1.1%	4
Multi Race	2%	7
Total	6.0%	2

			Achievement	Closing	Average Closing	Closing Gap
Year	Groups	Proficiency Percentage	Gap	Gap	Gap	Score (T-Score)
2016	Minority Students	83.3%	-0.4			
2010	State Average	83%		4.9		
2015	Minority Students	88.6%	-5.3		-3.8	77
2015	State Average	83.3%		-12.4	-0.0	,,
	Minority Students	75.9%		-12.4		
2014	State Average	83.1%	7.1			



Agencies (https://directory.iowa.gov/organization/Index)

Online Services (https://directory.iowa.gov/service/Index)



2015 - 2016

2016

2015

2016

2015

Math

Math

Reading

Reading

Combined Math & Reading

52.3%

52.2%

55.5%

49.4%

56.6%

47.8%

52.4%o

0

Q

Anamosa Middle School Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Middle School

Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08 | Total Students: 392

# 2016

#### College and Career Ready Growth What is the percent of students who are growing each year toward college and career readiness?

Report Card College and Career Ready Growth

College and Career Ready Growth Year-to-Year Comparison Combined Q 52.4% 2016 Q 0.21 52.2% 2015 Math Q 2016 55.5% -1.1 Q 2015 56.6% Reading Q 2016 49.4% 0 1.61

















Anamosa Middle School Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Middle School

Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08 | Total Students: 392

### Annual Expected Growth What is the percent of students achieving a year of academic growth in a year's time?

Report Card Annual Expected Growth

Combined Mat		
2015 - 2016	54.4%	
2016	54%	
2015	54.8%	
2016	<u> </u>	
Math	56.6% <b>0</b>	
Reading	51.4%	
2015	0	
Math	57.8%	
Reading	51.9%	

=xpected (	Srowth Year-to-	lear Comparison
	<b>Q</b>	
54%	0	
54.8%	•	-0.8 🗸
	<b>o</b>	]
56.6%	0	-1.2
57.8%	·	
	0	]
51.4%	•	-0.5
51.9%		-0.5 🗸
	54% 54.8% 56.6% 57.8% 51.4%	54% 54.8% 56.6% 57.8% 0 51.4% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0













Annual Expected Growth Year-to-Year Compariso



Online Services (https://directory.iowa.gov/service/Index)

# College and Career Ready Growth What is the percent of students who are growing each year toward college and career readiness?

College and Career Ready Growth Year-to-Year

Report Card College and Career Ready Growth

Combined Mat	h & Reading	
2015 - 2016	52.3%	
2016	52.4%o	
2015	52.2%	
2016	Ŷ	
Math	55.5%	
Reading	49.4%	
2015	0	
Math	56.6%	
Reading	47.8%	

		Com	parison
Combined		0	
2016	52.4%	0	0.2
2015	52.2%		0.2
Math		0	
2016	55.5%	0	-1.1
2015	56.6%	•	
Reading		0	
2016	49.4%	• •	
2015	47.8%		1.6 🕇



















Anamosa Middle School Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Middle School Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08 2016

# Attendance What is the average daily attendance of students?









Anamosa Middle School Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Middle School

Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08

2016

# Staff Retention What percentage of licensed staff are retained?



Agencies (https://directory.iowa.gov/organization/Index)

Online Services (https://directory.iowa.gov/service/Index)

Strawberry Hill Grant Wood I Anamosa Community I Elementary School Grades: KG, 01, 02, 03, 04 2016

Demographics Contact information and student counts by sub-group



Agencies (https://directory.iowa.gov/organization/Index) Online Services (https://directory.iowa.gov/service/Index)

2015



#### Strawberry Hill

Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Elementary School Grades: KG, 01, 02, 03, 04 | Total Students: 444

Expand all

Rank: Commendable



	68.2 Points	
	50	
	•	
Proficiency		
	76.9%	
	50	
	•	
Closing Gap (FRL, IEP, and ELL)		
	53.8	
	50	
	<b>Q</b>	
College and Career Ready Growth		
	62.2%	
	50	
	Ŷ	
Annual Expected Growth		
	62.5%	
	50	
		<b>Q</b>
Attendance		
		95.8%
	50	
		Ŷ
Staff Retention	07	.2%



#### Online Services (https://directory.iowa.gov/service/Index)

#### Strawberry Hill

Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Elementary School Grades: KG, 01, 02, 03, 04 | Total Students: 441

# 2016

**Proficiency** What percent of students meet or exceed proficiency targets?

	Report Car	d Proficiency	
Combined Mat	h & Reading	<b>Q</b>	
2015 - 2016	75.1%	0	
2016	73%	0	
2015	77.1%	•	
2016		Q	
Math	72.7%	Ŷ	
Reading	73.3%		
2015		<b>Q</b>	
Math	78.1%	0	
Reading	76.1%		

	Proficiency Year-f	to-Year Comparisor	ı
Combined		<b>\$</b>	
2016	73%	•	-4.1
2015	77.1%		-4.1 V
Math		<b>Q</b>	
2016	72.7%	0	-5.4 🗸
2015	78.1%	•	
Reading		<b>Q</b>	
2016	73.3%	0	
2015	76.1%		-2.8 🕈

















Strawberry Hill

Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Elementary School Grades: KG, 01, 02, 03, 04

#### Closing Achievement Gap (FRL, IEP and ELL)

Is progress being made in closing the achievement gap between students that traditionally lag in achievement, compared to the rest of the school?

Achievement gap in education refers to the disparity in academic performance between groups of students. Iowa faces significant gaps in achievement for students who are part of these groups:

- individualized education program (IEP)
- students whose first language in not English (ELL)
- students who face economic hardship (lowa uses free or reduced priced lunch FRL eligibility as a proximate measure for economic diversity)

For this measure, an aggregate group of these students who are FRL, IEP and/or ELL is compared to the rest of the students in this school who are not eligible for FRL, do not have an IEP and are not English language learners (ELL).

The combined Math and Reading proficiency is compared for the last three most recent years.



		Achievement	Closing	Average Closing	Closing Gap
Year	Groups Proficiency Percentage	Gap	Gap	Gap	Score (T-Score)
2016	FRL, IEP and ELL 60.9% Not FRL, IEP or ELL 86.1%	25.2	7.2		
2015	FRL, IEP and ELL 68.2% Not FRL, IEP or ELL 86.3%	18	5	6.1	36.6
2014	FRL, IEP and ELL 69.4% Not FRL, IEP or ELL 82.3%	13			



Free-or-

Lunch

0%

Reduced Priced

Strawberry Hill

Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Elementary School Grades: KG, 01, 02, 03, 04 | Total Students: 441

# 2016

# College and Career Ready Growth What is the percent of students who are growing each year toward college and career readiness?

Report Card College and Career Ready Growth

Combined Mat	h & Reading	
2015 - 2016	59.7%	
2016	52% o	
2015	67.3%	
2016	<b>Q</b>	
Math	49.3%	
Reading	54.7%	
2015	0	
Math	68% o	
Reading	66.7%	





Math by Program

25%

2015 School

0

50%

2016 School











100%



Online Services (https://directory.iowa.gov/service/Index)

Strawberry Hill Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Elementary School Grades: KG, 01, 02, 03, 04 | Total Students: 441

# Annual Expected Growth what is the percent of students achieving a year of academic growth in a year's time?

Rep	oort Card Annual Expected Growth		
Combined Mat			
2015 - 2016	<sup>59.7%</sup>		
2016	52% 0		
2015	67.3%		
2016	<b>Q</b>		
Math	49.3%		
Reading	54.7%		
2015	<b>Q</b>		
Math	68% o		
Reading	66.7%		

Combined	-	0	
2016	52%	0	15.0
2015	67.3%	•	-15.3
Math		0	
2016	49.3%	0	-18.7
2015	68%	•	
Reading		0	
2016	54.7%	•	(a.)
2015	66.7%		-12

Reading by Gender

Subgroups with less than 20 students are intentionally redacted

25%

25%

Reading by Program

Subgroups with less than 20 students are intentionally redacted

2015 School

50%

50%

2016 School

75%

75%

100%

100%

Female

Male

Free-or-

Lunch

0%

Reduced Priced

0%













# 2016



### Strawberry Hill

Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Elementary School Grades: KG, 01, 02, 03, 04

# 2016

# Attendance What is the average daily attendance of students?





## Strawberry Hill

Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Elementary School Grades: KG, 01, 02, 03, 04

# 2016

### Staff Retention What percentage of licensed staff are retained?

